International application No. PCT/AU03/00748

A.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
Int. Cl. 7:	G01N 23/02				
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both	national classification and IPC			
В.	FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum doc	umentation searched (classification system followed by cl	assification symbols)			
Documentation	n searched other than minimum documentation to the exte	ent that such documents are included in the fields search	hed		
DWPI, JAP	a base consulted during the international search (name of IO IPC G01N 23/IC with KEYWORDS: (mon		nth or		
frequency))	or ((silicon or Si) (w) crystal))				
C.	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		1		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	ropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
	US 5987095 A (CHAPMAN et al.) 16 Nove				
X	Abstract; Fig. 1; Col. 4, lines 32 - col. 5, line	1,2,5-7,11,12, 15-17,39,40			
X	US 5319694 A.(INGAL et al.) 7 Jun 1994 Abstract; col. 4, lines 7-23; col. 5, lines 54-63; Fig. 3				
x	WO 01/79823 A (CHAPMAN et al.) 25 Oct Abstract; page 6, lines 14-23; page 15, line 2		1,2,5-7,11,12, 15-17,39,40		
X I	Further documents are listed in the continuation	n of Box C X See patent family ann	ex		
"A" docum	is not considered to be of particular a	ater document published after the international filing dend not in conflict with the application but cited to under theory underlying the invention			
"E" earlier	application or patent but published on or "X" due international filing date	ocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention onsidered novel or cannot be considered to involve an			
claim(; public	when the document is taken alone document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious				
"O" docum	n (as specified)  a person skilled in the art  nent referring to an oral disclosure, use,  "&" document member of the same patent family  ition or other means				
"P" docum	ent published prior to the international filing at later than the priority date claimed		-		
Date of the act	ual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report	0 5 SEP 2003		
	ling address of the ISA/AU	Authorized officer			
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		LARS KOCH Telephone No. (02) 6282-2551			
A BESTITLE 140.	(02) 0203 3747	Telephone No : (02) 6283 2551			

International application No.
PCT/AU03/00748

		<del></del>
C (Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	·
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	WO 95/05725 A (COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL	<del> </del>
x	RESEARCH ORGANISATION) 23 February 1995 Abstract; page 4, line 20 - page 5, line 1; page 6, lines 9-17; page 12, line 23 - page 13,	1,2,5-7,11,12
Л	Abstract, page 4, time 20 - page 3, time 1; page 6, times 9-17, page 12, time 23 - page 13, line 4; Figs 1,4	15-17,39,40
	}	
		1
		ĺ
		}

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00748

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:    Claims Nos:   because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:    Claims Nos:   because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:    Claims Nos:   because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)    Box II   Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)    This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:   See Supplemental Sheet.    As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.   As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:    As   No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:   1-40   No required additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  2.					
2. Claims Nos: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See Supplemental Sheet.  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1.	Claims Nos:			
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:    Claims Nos:   because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)    Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)    This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:   See Supplemental Sheet.    As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.   As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:    No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:    1-40   The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	'	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:    Claims Nos:   because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)    Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)    This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:   See Supplemental Sheet.    As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims   As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.   As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:    No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:    1-40   The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3.	2	Claims Nos ·			
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  See Supplemental Sheet.  1.	2.	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to			
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  See Supplemental Sheet.  1.					
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  See Supplemental Sheet.  1.	_				
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  See Supplemental Sheet.  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  See Supplemental Sheet.  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest					
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Вох П	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)			
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest	This Inter	national Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:			
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Se	ee Supplemental Sheet.			
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1.				
report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.				
is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.				
is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-40  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	4.	is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:			
		1-v			
No ameters accommended the accommend of additional according	Remark o	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.			
ivo protest accompanied the payment of additional search lees.	•	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.			

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00748

#### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box No: II

The international application does not complied with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or a group of inventions so linked as to form a single inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are two inventions:

- Claims 1-10, 20-29, 39, 40 are directed to a method for analysis of an object comprising the following steps: irradiating the object with a beam of monochromatic X-rays, diffracting the X-rays emerging from the object, obtaining an angular spectrum of the diffracted X-ray intensities.
   An apparatus for performing the above method is also claimed in claims 11-19, 30-38.
   It is considered that the steps of irradiating the object with a beam of monochromatic X-rays, diffracting the
- emerging X-rays from the object and obtaining an angular spectrum of diffracted X-ray intensities comprise a first "special technical feature" (for the method claims).

  2. Claim 41 is directed to a method of analysis of an object including the steps of:
- collecting generic X-ray diffraction data from a portion of the object,
  analysing the data to obtain a complex refraction index of the sampled portion in a direction transverse to the beam propagation.

It is considered that the steps of collecting generic X-ray diffraction data from a portion of the object and analysing the data to obtain a complex refraction index of a sampled portion comprise a second "special technical feature".

Since the above mentioned group of claims do not share any of the technical features identified, a "technical relationship" between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international application does not relate to on invention or to a single inventive concept.



International application No.

PCT/AU03/00748

Information on patent family members

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

	t Document Cited in Search Report			Pate	ent Family Member		
US	5987095	WO	9816817				
US	5319694	EP	539608	US	5579363	wo	9221016
wo	200179823	AU	200153566	US	2002027970		
wo	9505725	AU	74538/94	US	5802137	US	5850425
<u>-</u>							
							END OF ANNEX